

Physics 6561 Fall 2017

Problem Set 10 Solutions

Amir Tajdini
at734@cornell.edu

Angular momentum content of the magnetic field of a trap

A static magnetic field \mathbf{B} , such as used in an atom-trap, can always be expressed as $\nabla\Phi$, where the magnetic potential function Φ satisfies the Laplace equation. A systematic expansion of Φ uses the fact that the functions

$$\begin{aligned}x \pm iy &= r \sin \theta e^{\pm i\phi} \\ z &= r \cos \theta\end{aligned}$$

are a basis for the angular momentum functions for $l = 1$. By the addition rule of angular momentum, all angular momentum functions (spherical harmonics) with angular momentum l and below can therefore be expressed as polynomials in x , y , and z of degree at most l .

Show that a Φ of polynomial degree 2 ($l \leq 2$) can never produce a magnetic field having the necessary properties of a magnetic trap:

- $|\mathbf{B}|$ has a local minimum¹.
- At the minimum, $|\mathbf{B}| > 0$.

Φ is a polynomial degree 2 in terms of coordinates x, y, z . Compactly, we can write Φ as

$$\Phi = \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} + \Phi_0 \tag{1.1}$$

Where A is a matrix characterizing second order terms, \mathbf{c} is determining the first order polynomial in Φ , and Φ_0 is a constant. $\mathbf{x} = \{x, y, z\}$. It is implicit in this expansion that we chose origin at the point in the space that we're determining its magnetic field property, otherwise we should replace \mathbf{x} by $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0$.

Using this expression we can also write \mathbf{B} compactly as

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla\Phi = 2A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c} \tag{1.2}$$

$$\mathbf{B}(x, y, z) = \mathbf{c} + 2A\mathbf{x} \tag{1.3}$$

¹Here “local minimum” means the minimum occurs at a point as opposed to an entire line or plane.

Note that if this matrix A is a full rank matrix (the kernel is zero), it should have an inverse. Thus, there is a unique solution for the point in which magnetic field vanishes,

$$\mathbf{x}^* = -\frac{1}{2}A^{-1}\mathbf{c} \quad (1.4)$$

where I only used invertibility of A and there is no assumption about \mathbf{c} . So that means there is point that $|\mathbf{B}|$ has a local minimum but $|\mathbf{B}|=0$. So this case is not compatible with properties mentioned in the question.

The only other possibility is that the matrix A is not invertible, and therefore has a null subspace. More explicitly, there is a **non-zero** vector \mathbf{w} such that

$$A\mathbf{w} = 0 \quad (1.5)$$

However, that means if there will be any point, let's say \mathbf{v} , in which magnetic field magnitude $|\mathbf{B}| = |\mathbf{B}_0 + A\mathbf{v}|$ reaches the minimum value, there is at least a line of points, given by $\mathbf{v} + \alpha\mathbf{w}$, that for any value of $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the magnetic field has the same minimum value and this is inconsistent with having a minimum magnitude only at the isolated points.

Basis change to align the field

The interaction of a spin-1/2 particle with a magnetic field \mathbf{B} is given by the Hamiltonian term

$$\mu \mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma},$$

where μ is the particle's magnetic dipole moment and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is the vector of Pauli matrices that make up the spin operator. To better understand the trapping power of a designed, spatially varying magnetic field, we make a basis change (dependent on position) so that “spin-up” always corresponds to the direction of the magnetic field. The required unitary transformation is the following

$$U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sqrt{1 + \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}} \mathbb{1} - i \frac{\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\sqrt{1 + \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}}} \right),$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{B}/|\mathbf{B}|$. By repeatedly using the Pauli-matrix identity (for general vectors \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b})

$$(\mathbf{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})(\mathbf{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} \mathbb{1} + i \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma},$$

show that

$$U \mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} U^\dagger = |\mathbf{B}| \sigma_z.$$

U has two terms, so by expanding $U\mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} U^\dagger$, we find 4 different terms. Before expanding, using BAC-CAB identity, we can work out the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})(\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) &= \left(\mathbf{B} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \right) \mathbb{1} + i[\mathbf{B} \times (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}})] \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\
&= i \left[\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{B} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}) - \hat{\mathbf{z}}|\mathbf{B}| \right] \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = i(\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})(\mathbf{B} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}) - i(\hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})|\mathbf{B}| \\
&= i(\hat{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})(\mathbf{b} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}) - i(\hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})|\mathbf{B}|
\end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

$$(\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})(\mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = -(\mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})(\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \tag{1.7}$$

Where I used $\mathbf{B} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}) = \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot (\mathbf{B} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\left((\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right) \left((\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right) &= \left((\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \right) \cdot \left((\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \right) \mathbb{1} \\
&= \sin^2(\theta) \mathbb{1} = \left(1 - (\hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}})^2 \right) \mathbb{1}
\end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

Where I used $\left((\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \right) \times \left((\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \right) = 0$.
 θ is the angle between \mathbf{B} and the z-axis.

$$\begin{aligned}
\left((\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right) (\mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \left((\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right) &= \left((\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right) i \left([\mathbf{B} \times (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}})] \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right) = \\
&= i^2 \left[(\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \times \left([\mathbf{B} \times (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}})] \right) \right] \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = -\sin^2(\theta) \mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}
\end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

Now, we need to expand $U\mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} U^\dagger$ and substitute terms by above calculations:

$$\begin{aligned}
U\mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} U^\dagger &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}} \right) \mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{2} \mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \left(\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{i}{2} \left(\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right) \mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 + \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}} \left(\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right) \mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \left(\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos(\theta)) \mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + i \left(i[\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} \cos(\theta) - \sigma_z |\mathbf{B}|] \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2(1 + \cos \theta)} (-\sin^2(\theta) \mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \\
&= (\mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \frac{(1 + \cos \theta)^2 - \sin^2 \theta - 2 \cos \theta (1 + \cos \theta)}{2(1 + \cos(\theta))} + |\mathbf{B}| \sigma_z \\
&= |\mathbf{B}| \sigma_z
\end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

where one can show easily another term is equal to zero and this completes the proof.

Artificial vector potential for the magnetic trap²

After making the basis change in the previous problem, the Hamiltonian for the spin-1/2 particle is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(\nabla + \mathbf{A}) \cdot (\nabla + \mathbf{A}) + \mu |\mathbf{B}| \sigma_z \\ &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + \mu |\mathbf{B}| \sigma_z + H_{\text{int}}, \end{aligned}$$

where m is the particle mass and

$$\mathbf{A} = U(\nabla U^\dagger)$$

is an artificial vector potential, each vector-component of which is a spin operator (a combination of Pauli matrices). If we could neglect H_{int} , then a spin-up particle would be permanently trapped in a trap where $|\mathbf{B}|$ satisfies the properties given in the first problem. The interaction

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{int}} &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}((\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} + 2\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla) \\ &= F + \mathbf{G} \cdot \nabla \end{aligned}$$

has two terms that could bring about a transition from spin-up to spin-down. Calculate F and \mathbf{G} , **keeping only the lowest non-vanishing terms** in an expansion in powers of x , y and z (i.e. the combined power of r , the distance to the center of the trap). Use the three-parameter expression for \mathbf{B} derived in lecture:

$$\mathbf{B} = \left(\beta x - \frac{\gamma}{2}xz\right) \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \left(-\beta y - \frac{\gamma}{2}yz\right) \hat{\mathbf{y}} + \left(B - \frac{\gamma}{4}(x^2 + y^2) + \frac{\gamma}{2}z^2\right) \hat{\mathbf{z}},$$

B , β and γ are positive and satisfy

$$\frac{\beta^2}{B} > \frac{\gamma}{2}.$$

You should pay special attention to the off-diagonal terms, as they are responsible for the transition.

See attached Mathematica notebook on the website!

²You may use *Mathematica* for this problem.