

## Homework 1

Due date: Thursday, September 15

1. In this problem you supply some of the details that were left out of the September 1 lecture on the complexity analysis of the backtracking algorithm. Although the method of analysis is quite general, you should focus on the application to edge matching puzzles. Recall that  $n$  denotes the total number of tiles and  $x$  is the fraction of them that have been placed.

- (a) Recall that  $P(x)$  is the set of all tile placements at depth  $x$  — subset selection, permutation, rotations — irrespective of the placed tiles having matched edges. Show that for large  $n$

$$|P(x)| \sim \left( \frac{4n}{e(1-x)^{1/x-1}} \right)^{xn}.$$

- (b) Let  $K$  denote the random variable of tile colorings. Assume that the distribution of  $K$  is such that all edge colors are independent and drawn for the same color distribution. Let  $c_1(e; p, K)$  and  $c_2(e; p, K)$  be the colors adjacent to edge  $e \in E(x)$  for tile placement  $p \in P(x)$  and coloring  $K$ . Show that

$$\langle \prod_{e \in E(x)} \delta(c_1(e; p, K) = c_2(e; p, K)) \rangle_K = q^{|E(x)|},$$

where  $q$  is the “surprise”: the probability that two edge colors (independently drawn from the same distribution) match.

- (c) From the formula (given in lecture)

$$v(x) = \sum_{p \in P(x)} \prod_{e \in E(x)} \delta(c_1(e; p, K) = c_2(e; p, K))$$

for the number of edge-matched tilings at depth  $x$  (number of nodes of the search tree at that depth), show that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v(x) \rangle_K &\sim \left( \frac{4nq^2}{e(1-x)^{1/x-1}} \right)^{xn} \\ &= e^{w_\alpha(x)n}, \end{aligned}$$

where the tree-width function  $w_\alpha(x)$  depends on parameters only via the combination

$$\alpha = \frac{4nq^2}{e}.$$

- (d) Sketch  $w_\alpha(x)$  for  $0 < x < 1$  in four cases:  $\alpha = 1/e$ ,  $1/e < \alpha < 1$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ , and  $1 < \alpha$ . Determine the exponent  $y(\alpha)$  in the backtracking complexity  $e^{y(\alpha)n}$  by the number of nodes in the widest part of the tree that must be examined to find *just one branch* that leads to the solution at  $x = 1$ . Find the depth  $x^*$  where the tree is widest.
- (e) To create a puzzle that — even in the over-constrained case  $w_\alpha(1) < 0$  — is guaranteed to have a solution we use a different ensemble of colorings, with half as many independent choices such that colors on adjacent edges are matched (in a particular  $p \in P(1)$ ). Call the random variable for such colorings  $K'$ . It is much harder to work in this ensemble because the expectation value of the product of Kroenecker delta's does not factorize and depends on the placement  $p \in P(x)$ . However, it is possible to evaluate

$$\langle\langle \delta(c_1(e; p, K') = c_2(e; p, K')) \rangle\rangle_{p, K'},$$

where the double angle-brackets denote an average over the matched-color ensemble and there is also a uniform average over the elements  $p \in P(x)$ . Show that this average equals  $q$  to leading order ( $n \rightarrow \infty$  for fixed  $\alpha$ ).

2. A unitary matrix is called *Hadamard* if all its matrix elements have the same magnitude.

- (a) Verify that for all  $n \geq 1$ , the *Fourier matrix*

$$F_{kl} = \exp(2\pi i k l / n) / \sqrt{n}$$

is an  $n \times n$  Hadamard matrix.

- (b) By pre- and post-multiplying a Hadamard matrix  $H$  by diagonal matrices of phases, the transformed matrix  $\tilde{H}$  is still unitary and has the same element magnitudes. With this “dephasing” operation we can eliminate some continuous degrees of freedom and transform any Hadamard matrix to a standard form where all elements in its first row and column are equal to  $1/\sqrt{n}$ . Show that the number of remaining free variables exactly equals the naive count of the constraints satisfied by a unitary matrix. The set of dephased Hadamard matrices should thus form a discrete set, the Fourier matrices being a particular example.

(c) However, show that the continuous family of dephased matrices

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & ie^{i\phi} & -1 & -ie^{i\phi} \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -ie^{i\phi} & -1 & ie^{i\phi} \end{bmatrix}$$

for arbitrary real  $\phi$  is Hadamard. Naive constraint counting can thus fail.